

# Spring 2013 Guide to Reports



# **Table of Contents**

Introduction	Spring 2013 MME Contributing Components 10
Printing Reports	MME Components and Sections 10
Printing Options	High School Content Expectations and the MME11
Spring 2013 MME Report List	Section 3: Report Descriptions 12
Section 1: Scoring 4	Spring 2013 MME Sample Reports
Definitions	Reading and Writing Student Roster
Multiple Choice Item Scores (MME)	Mathematics, Science, and Social Studies Student Rosters
Scale Scores (MME)	Student Record Label
Performance Levels (MME)	Parent Report
ACT Scores	Individual Student Report
MME Score Categories and Scale	Demographic Report
Score Ranges for Spring 20137	Summary Report
Section 2: Explaining the	Comprehensive Report
MME Scale Score 8	Acronyms/Glossary
What is the relationship between ACT, WorkKeys, and MME scores? 8	Contact Information
What is the relationship between the number of points earned on the MME and the scale score?	

# **Introduction**

The Michigan Merit Examination (MME) is used to assess Grade 11 and eligible Grade 12 students on Michigan's reading, writing, mathematics, science, and social studies high school content standards and expectations. The MME has three distinct components:

- 1) the ACT Plus Writing college entrance examination,
- 2) WorkKeys job skills assessments in Reading for Information, Applied Mathematics, and Locating Information, and
- 3) Michigan-specific assessments in mathematics, science, and social studies.

Each component is administered on a different day. The ACT Plus Writing component is administered on Day 1, the WorkKeys component is administered on Day 2, and the Michigan component is administered on Day 3.

This guide was developed to assist educators in understanding and using the Spring 2013 Michigan Merit Examination (MME) results. The reports prepared for the MME include both individual-level reports (Parent Reports, Individual Student Reports, Student Rosters, and Student Record Labels) and aggregate-level reports (Demographic Reports, Summary Reports, and Comprehensive Reports). Schools must distribute the MME Parent Reports to students' parents or guardians as soon as possible when the printed reports are received.

The aggregate reports are intended to reflect the data needed to meet the expectations of state and federal legislation. In accordance with these mandates, separate aggregate results are provided for the following three student populations:

- 1) all students,
- 2) students with disabilities (SWD), and
- 3) all except students with disabilities (AESWD).

1 Introduction

#### **Printing Reports**

#### **Printing Options**

Reports included in the district and school packets are listed in the table on the next page. Included in the table is a brief description of each report, a list of the student populations represented in the report, and the report recipients. Detailed descriptions and key components of the reports are provided in Section 3 of this document.

Districts have two printing options:

- 1) The full print option, (all available reports); or
- 2) The "green" option (default)

The green option reduces the number printed reports to individual student reports, parent reports, and student records labels only. The printing option was selected at the district level; or if no option was selected by your district representative, the green option was selected automatically. Regardless of the selection, all reports continue to be available on the BAA Secure Site (<a href="www.michigan.gov/baa-secure">www.michigan.gov/baa-secure</a>) under the "Reports" tab by selecting "Student Test Scores."

The Bureau of Assessment and Accountability (BAA) welcomes your comments and feedback. We are committed to providing Michigan students, educators, parents, and other stakeholders an assessment program of the highest quality and reliability.

#### **Print Suppression**

If you do not receive printed reports, or cannot access the electronic reports from the BAA Secure Site for all student and aggregate groups, the reasons may be:

- Invalid student scores Students who have been marked as Prohibitive Behavior, Non-standard accommodation, not flagged as Special Education in the Michigan Student Database System (MSDS), test misadministration, or out-of-level testing would not receive a valid score or reports.
- Aggregate reports are not produced if the number of students assessed is below 10 for a content area.
  - The threshold for printing reports is 10 or more students in each content area on the aggregated reports. The print suppression rule will apply to those content areas below 10.
- Schools may also have unpaid fees for missing barcode labels.
   Unpaid fees suppress the reports for all current and future administrations. Once payment in full is received by the contractor, the reports are released.
- If you received only parent reports, individual student reports and student labels, it is likely that the district did not choose the green reporting option and had it selected as the default mentioned earlier.

2 Introduction

#### **Spring 2013 MME Report List**

Reports*	Туре	Sent To	Report Description
Student Roster (by subject)†	Student	School	MME scale scores, performance levels, and subscores for each student on the roster (reading, writing, mathematics and science subscores are reported by standard; social studies subscores are reported by domain)
Student Record Label	Student	School	MME scale scores and performance levels by subject in label format for student record folders
Parent Report	Student	School	MME scale scores, performance levels, and standard/domain subscores by subject, as well as ACT and WorkKeys scores
Individual Student Report	Student	School	MME scale scores, performance levels, and standard/domain subscores by subject, as well as ACT and WorkKeys scores
	School	School, District	MME mean scale scores and percentage of students attaining each
Demographic Report**†	District	School, District	performance level by subject (for demographic subgroups with 10
	State	***	or more students)
	School	School, District	MME mean scale scores, the percentage of students attaining each
Summary Report**†	District	School, District	performance level by subject, points possible, and the percentage of
	State	***	students attaining each raw score range in each standard/domain
Comprehensive	District	District	MME mean scale scores and the percentage of students attaining each performance level by subject. District reports display one row of data for the
Report**†	port**† ISD ***	district and one row for each school in the district. ISD reports display one row of data for the ISD and one row for each district and PSA in the ISD	

<sup>\*</sup>All reports present data broken out by subject. MME domain or standard subscores are presented where applicable.

3 Introduction

<sup>\*\*</sup>Separate reports are produced for three groups: 1) all students, 2) students with disabilities, and 3) all except students with disabilities.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>Reports are produced only in PDF form for retrieval from the BAA Secure Site.

<sup>†</sup>Reports will NOT be provided in hard copy if the district selected the "green" print option. (All reports are available on the BAA Secure Site.)

# **Section 1: Scoring**

#### **Definitions**

#### Item Scores (MME)

There are two types of items on the MME, Multiple Choice (MC) and Constructed Response (CR) items. Item scores are used to report subscores for each content standard assessed in reading, writing, mathematics, and science. Social studies subscores are reported at the domain level. Item scores are also used in the statistical models and transformations that result in scale scores. The statistical models used to create MME scale scores are indifferent as to whether the items come from the ACT, WorkKeys, or Michigan components.

#### Multiple Choice Item Scores (MME)

The majority of the MME is comprised of MC items, where students select a single choice from the available options, only one of which is a correct response to the item. Students who select the correct option receive a score of one (1) on a multiple choice item, versus those who select one of the incorrect options, select multiple options, or do not respond at all will receive a score of zero (0).

To improve the alignment of the MME with the Michigan high school content standards, only select items from the ACT and WorkKeys components, along with all operational items from the Michigan component, contribute toward the MME subject scores. The string of responses from the multiple choice items (e.g. 1,0,0,0,1,...,1) serve as input for the statistical models used to derive scale scores. See Section 2 for a detailed explanation on how the MME scale score is

derived. Multiple Choice items are scanned and scored by computer, whereas Constructed Response items are handscored using highly qualified scorers and strict procedures.

Due to the security requirements of the ACT and WorkKeys assessments, MC item scores are not reported at the individual item level.

#### Constructed Response Item Scores (MME)

The ACT writing prompt is the one CR item on the MME. On this item, students are presented with a prompt indicating what they should write about. ACT, Inc. is responsible for scoring the writing prompt. The writing prompt and scoring rubric are proprietary information of ACT, Inc. Attainable scores range from 2-12 for scored responses. If the constructed response was not scored by ACT, see the Spring 2013 MME Student Data File field "ACTWritingIndicatorProblem" for the reason code. In addition, the student roster provides constructed response comment codes for ACT Writing. These codes can also be found in the student data file in fields, "ACTRaterCommentCode1" – "ACTRaterCommentCode4." Further information on ACT comment or condition codes can be obtained from ACT, Inc.

A file layout for the Spring 2013 MME Student Data File is located on the BAA Secure Site (<a href="www.michigan.gov/baa-secure">www.michigan.gov/baa-secure</a>) under Student Test Scores, Report Descriptions, once the "Spring 2013 MME" test cycle has been selected.

#### Scale Scores (MME)

MME scale scores are created from statistical scoring models that make use of each student's responses to selected Multiple Choice (MC) items and the Constructed Response (CR) item, where applicable. The purpose is to model students' overall achievement on each subject based on the Michigan high school content standards. MME scale scores are equated from year to year, and form to form. Differences in the difficulty of items from one year to the next, or from one form to the next, are accounted for in the calculations of the scale score for the current test cycle. Therefore, MME scale scores from the same subject can be compared against each other regardless of the form of the MME the student took.

The MME scale scores are explained in greater detail in Section 2 of this Guide to Reports.

#### Subscores (MME)

MME subscores are reported as the number of points earned in a particular high school content standard (e.g. E2 Earth Systems, B4 Genetics). Unlike scale scores, the subscores are not equated from year to year and are sample/item dependent. As a result, subscores cannot be compared from year to year. In addition, the difficulty of items from one content standard may be very different than the items from another content standard, so it is not appropriate to compare subscores from different content standards within the same year.

Subscores from within the same subject can be reasonably interpreted in relation to the average subscore. For example, when a student scores far above the average subscore on one standard, but far below the average subscore on another standard, it is

reasonable to interpret the scores as indicating that the student has greater needs in the standard where he or she scored far below average.

**NOTE:** In Spring 2008, science subscores were reported for the five domains. Since Spring 2009, science subscores are reported by the 16 high school science content standards.

#### Performance Levels (MME)

MME scale scores within each subject area can be described in ranges. The labels applied to these ranges are known as performance levels. The MME performance levels are:
(1) Advanced, (2) Proficient, (3) Partially Proficient, and (4) Not Proficient. The divisions between the levels are often referred to as cut scores.

The cut scores are recommended by a panel comprised of educators and other stakeholders throughout the state in a process known as standard setting. To set these standards, the panel uses detailed descriptions of what students in each of the performance levels should know and be able to demonstrate.

Based upon these detailed descriptions and actual assessment items, the panel recommends the score that best separates each performance level from the next to the Michigan Superintendent of Public Instruction. The Superintendent of Public Instruction then recommends the results of the standard setting (or modifications of these standards) to the Michigan State Board of Education (SBE). The SBE is the authority who approves the final cut scores and performance level ranges. While the performance level descriptors

necessarily differ by subject area, student achievement (as defined by the obtained performance level) can be reasonably compared across subjects. Such a comparison could be used to indicate whether students are meeting Michigan performance expectations in each subject.

#### ACT Scores

The ACT composite score is an overall college readiness score that is created from the ACT scores in English, reading, mathematics, and science. The scoring range for the ACT is 1 to 36 for English, reading, mathematics, science, and for the overall (or composite) score. The ACT writing score is derived from the scores on the writing prompt administered as an additional ACT component. It is scored from 2-12 for student responses that are able to be scored, and is reported as dashes (--) for responses that are not able to be scored. See the Spring 2013 MME Student Data File field "ACTWritingIndicatorProblem" for the condition code.

Students who tested with a state-allowed accommodation (rather than an ACT-approved accommodation) will receive ACT scores; however, these scores are not college-reportable. These students' individual student and parent reports will indicate their scores are not college-reportable and these students will not receive a separate score report from ACT. An ACT results letter for each student that tested with state-allowed accommodations will be sent to high school principals at the end of September 2013.

#### WorkKeys Scores

The WorkKeys score categories are: <3, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 for the Applied Mathematics and Reading for Information WorkKeys tests,

and <3, 3, 4, 5, and 6 for the Locating Information test. Each score category represents a described level of performance and is an indicator of work readiness. The WorkKeys scale cannot reliably distinguish between students scoring less than a 3. For this reason, a <3 symbol is reported for students with scores of less than 3 (in the student data file a zero is used to indicated a student has not yet attained a score of 3 or higher). More information regarding the WorkKeys test and scores can be found on ACT's website at www.act.org/workkeys.

If a student achieves a score of 5 or higher on all three WorkKeys tests, the student is eligible for a Gold National Career Readiness Certificate (NCRC). A student achieving a score of 4 or higher on all three tests, is eligible for a Silver NCRC, and a score of 3 or higher on all tests, is eligible for a Bronze NCRC. Starting in 2011, ACT introduced the Platinum Level of the Certificate, which requires a score of 6 or higher on each of the three WorkKeys tests. Qualified ELL students who received translation assistance (in a language other than English) for WorkKeys test items are not eligible for the NCRC. For more information on the NCRC, please visit www.myworkkeys.com.

New cut scores, representing Michigan Career and College Readiness Benchmarks, took effect beginning in the 2011-2012 school year. These new cut scores require students to attain a higher score than in the past in order to reach the Partially Proficient, Proficient, or Advanced performance levels in the content areas of mathematics, reading, science, and social studies.

For more information, please visit <a href="www.michigan.gov/mme">www.michigan.gov/mme</a>.

#### **MME Score Categories and Scale Score Ranges for Spring 2013**

Subject	Level 4 Not Proficient	Level 3 Partially Proficient	Level 2 Proficient	Level 1 Advanced
Reading	(950-1080)	(1081-1107)	(1108-1140)	(1141-1250)
Writing	(950-1050)	(1051-1099)	(1100-1145)	(1146-1250)
Mathematics	(950-1092)	(1093-1115)	(1116-1137)	(1138-1250)
Science	(950-1105)	(1106-1125)	(1126-1143)	(1144-1250)
Social Studies	(950-1096)	(1097-1128)	(1129-1157)	(1158-1250)

Section 1 – Scoring

# Section 2: Explaining the MME Scale Score

There are two important questions about the Michigan Merit Examination (MME) that are answered in this section:

- What is the relationship between ACT, WorkKeys, and MME scores?
- 2) What is the relationship between the number of points earned on the MME and the scale score?

# What is the relationship between ACT, WorkKeys, and MME scores?

Students who take the MME receive separate ACT and WorkKeys scores that are based on a separate scoring system that is proprietary information of ACT, Inc. The overall MME score is derived from a selected set of contributing test items answered by each student for each subject, regardless of where those test items come from (i.e., the ACT, WorkKeys, or Michigan components). A table showing the test components that contributed to each MME subject score is included at the end of this section for your reference.

# What is the relationship between the number of points earned on the MME and the scale score?

On the old high school MEAP assessment, there was a table for each subject area that described a one-to-one relationship between the number of points earned by a student and the scale score earned

by the student. This one-to-one relationship between points earned and scale score is a by-product of the statistical scoring model used for scoring the high school MEAP assessment.

That scoring model worked relatively well for the high school MEAP assessment, but is problematic for the MME for two reasons:

- The items on the MME tend to be significantly harder than the items on the high school MEAP assessment. The increased difficulty tends to lead to higher levels of guessing on items by students. The scoring model for the high school MEAP assessment did not account for guessing behavior.
- 2) The items on the MME vary widely in their ability to distinguish between students with high and low achievement. Therefore, some items give significantly more information about the level of achievement of individual students than other items. The variation in the information provided by each item was not incorporated in the high school MEAP assessment scoring model.

Inaccurate scores could occur for a significant number of students if these realities were not accounted for. Therefore, a different statistical scoring model has been applied to the MME. This model takes into account the increased level of guessing on the MME.

It also incorporates differences in information about student achievement provided by different items. This model is well-researched, well-validated, and well-implemented in many testing programs.

In this more sophisticated model, there is still a strong relationship between the number of points earned and the scale score received by an individual student, but it is no longer a one-to-one (linear) relationship. Students who earn the same number of points will not necessarily have the same scale score, although the scale scores will be similar. Three concrete examples are given below showing how this can occur:

Jim and Sue both earned 40 out of 50 points, but Sue earned a higher scale score. For the most part, both Jim and Sue got the same items right and wrong, but there were some items where they differed. The items that only Sue answered correctly tended to be much more difficult than the items that only Jim answered correctly. As a result, Sue's scale score was higher than Jim's.

Jane and John both earned 25 out of 50 points, but Jane earned a higher scale score. For the most part, both John and Jane got the same items right and wrong, but there were some items where they differed. The few items that only Jane answered correctly provided more insight into whether a student is a high achiever. The items that only John answered correctly were less informative about students' level of achievement. Therefore, Jane's scale score was slightly higher than John's.

Betty and Bill both earned 29 out of 50 points, but Bill earned a higher scale score. For the most part, both Bill and Betty got the same items right and wrong, but there were some items where they differed. The few items that only Betty answered correctly had correct answers that were relatively easy to guess. On the other hand, the items that only Bill answered correctly had correct answers that were quite difficult to guess. Therefore, Bill's scale score was slightly higher than Betty's.

In the MME scoring model, it is the pattern of correct and incorrect responses that determines a student's scale score rather than the number of points earned by that student. This reflects that there are many different ways to earn the same number of points, some of which indicate greater achievement than others.

In relation to scoring models, the high school MEAP assessment used a simple Item Response Theory (IRT) model: the Rasch Partial Credit (1-parameter) model. In contrast, the MME uses a more sophisticated IRT model: the Generalized Partial Credit Model (GPCM). There were two strong reasons for selecting the GPCM over the 1-parameter model.

- The ACT items tend to be more difficult than the items on the former high school MEAP assessment, and therefore, students are more likely to guess on those items. The more sophisticated model adjusts to some degree for guessing behavior (but it does not penalize students for guessing).
- 2) With the former high school MEAP assessment, the Bureau of Assessment and Accountability (BAA) was able to control the construction of the test to maximize fit to the Rasch model, which makes a strong assumption that all items in an assessment are equally related to overall achievement. With the MME, approximately half of the items contributing to each subject score lie outside the control of BAA, and the fit to the Rasch model cannot be maximized through regular test construction practices. The more sophisticated model incorporates the degree to which individual items are related to the overall set of items being used to measure student achievement rather than making the assumption that all items are equally informative about student achievement.

# **Spring 2013 MME Contributing Components**

The table below identifies the components of the Michigan Merit Examination (MME) that a student must take to get a valid score for each of the MME content areas. For example, if the student and school want to get a mathematics score, the student must take the ACT mathematics assessment, the WorkKeys Applied Mathematics and Locating Information assessments, AND the Michigan

mathematics assessment. The most valid scores are obtained by students who do their best on all assessment components.

Not participating in any session will make it impossible to obtain a valid score for one or more subjects of the MME. This affects the student's ACT, WorkKeys, and MME scores, and the school/district AYP 95% participation and performance, and the EdYES! accountability scores. All students should be encouraged to participate in all sessions and to do their best on all items.

#### **MME Components and Sections**

MME Day	MME Component	Sections	Reading	Writing	Mathematics	Science	Social Studies
		English		S			
		Mathematics			S		
Day 1	ACT Plus Writing	Reading	S				
		Science				S	
		Writing		Α			
		Reading for Information	S				
Day 2	WorkKeys	Applied Mathematics			S		
		Locating Information			S		S
		Mathematics			Α		
Day 3	Michigan Component	Science				Α	
		Social Studies					Α

NOTE: The darkest shaded area shows the sections in each component that contribute to a student's MME score in each subject area. An "A" means all operational items in that section contribute to the student's MME score, and an "S" means select items in that section contribute to the MME score.

#### High School Content Expectations and the MME

Information regarding the Michigan High School
Content Expectations (HSCE) can be found at
<a href="https://www.michigan.gov/highschool">www.michigan.gov/highschool</a>. The assignment of MME-assessable
HSCE is explained in the document titled "Expectations for
Success with the Michigan Merit Exam," which delineates the
content eligible for inclusion on the MME. This document can be
accessed though the following link: <a href="www.mi.gov/documents/mde/SMALL\_Expectations\_for\_Success\_With\_the\_MME\_Final\_9-16-09\_292382\_7.pdf">www.mi.gov/documents/mde/SMALL\_Expectations\_for\_Success\_With\_the\_MME\_Final\_9-16-09\_292382\_7.pdf</a>.

# **Section 3: Report Descriptions**

#### **Spring 2013 MME Sample Reports**

The sample reports included in this section are intended to provide examples of the report formats, data organization, and types of information contained in each report. These sample reports were produced prior to availability of real data. Data contained in these sample reports do not refer to any specific district, school, assessment item, or any specific student.

#### **Reading and Writing Student Roster**

The Student Roster provides detail information for each student assessed, reported by class or group. The detail information includes student scores for each high school content standard assessed within each subject area. Page numbers are printed in the center at the bottom of each report page. A sample Reading and Writing Student Roster is presented on the following page.

**Section A** identifies the title of the report, the grade level reported, the assessment cycle, and the subject area. The class/group code (if provided by the school), the school name and code, and the district name and code are also reported.

**Section B** lists each student's name followed by their unique identification code (UIC) and date of birth (DOB).

**Section C** provides information for reading and writing detailed by student:

Scale Score

- Performance Level
- The following information by high school content standard (e.g., R2.1 Strategy, R2.2 Meaning, etc.):
  - Number of possible points
  - Number of points earned by the student
- The following information for the ACT constructed response item:
  - Score (constructed response score points)
  - Comment or condition codes

ACT writing score points are included in the W1.3 Purpose and Audience subscore.

**NOTE:** "NA" in the Performance Level column indicates that the student did NOT receive a valid MME score in that subject area and does NOT count as assessed for AYP. Any of the five issues listed below will result in the student receiving an MME score that is NOT valid:

- 1) student received a nonstandard accommodation during test administration (standard subscore data will be reported),
- 2) student did not meet attemptedness in one or more of the required components for that subject,
- 3) student was dismissed for prohibited behavior during the test administration,
- 4) student was involved in a test misadministration on the part of the school, or the
- 5) student did not include the form code on their answer document (answer document could not be scored).



#### STUDENT ROSTER Grade 11 Spring 2013



District Name: SAMPLE DISTRICT

District Code: 99999

**READING and WRITING** 

Class/Group:

School Name: SAMPLE SCHOOL

School Code: 99999

		М	ME Re	ading						мме	Writin	g		
										Audience	ge		ACT Writing	
Student Information	Scale Score	Performance Level	R2.1 Strategy	R2.2 Meaning	R2.3 Independent	L3.1 Close Reading	Scale Score	Performance Level	W1.1 Writing Process	W1.3 Purpose and Auc	LAN4.1 Use of Language	Score**	Comment/ Condition Codes***	
Points Possible			27	10	8	6			17	29	11	12		
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXXXXXXXX UIO 667890 DOB: 01/01/1900	950	4	4	2	2	0	999	4	4	5	2	3	20, 31	
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXXXXXXXX	1073	4	14	5	3	3	1085	3	9	12	6	4	20	
LA EXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXXXXXXXX UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900	950	4	5	3	2	1	1087	3	8	11	5	5	32, 41, 52	
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXXXXXXXX UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900	1113	2	15	8	8	1	1093	3	7	13		6	32, 41	
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXXXXXXXX UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900	1137	2	22	7	7	5	1074	3	8	12	C	)	20	
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXXXXXXXX	1089	3	10	6	6	0	1071	3	10	11	3	4	20, 31	
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXXXXXXXX	1102	3	14	6	7	1	1080	3	7	9	7	4	20, 31	
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXXXXXXXX	1025	4	7	3	2	1	1073	3	5	12	4	5	21	
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXXXXXXXX	1055	4	9	4	2	1	950	4	0	8	3	2	20, 30, 40, 50	
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXXXXXXXX	1090	3	12	5	7	0	1083	3	7	12	6	4	20	
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXXXXXXXX	1112	2	14	5	8	1	986	4	10	5	2	2	20, 30	
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXXXXXXXX	1073	4	9	2	5	2	1072	3	8	10	4	5	20, 31	
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXXXXXXXX	1119	2	14	5	8	5	1097	3	8	17	7	4	20	
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXXXXXXXX	1016	4	11	3	2	2	1036	4	4	6	3	3	20	
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXXXXXXXX	1128	2	18	6	8	2	1079	3	7	13	5	4	31	
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXXXXXXX UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900 LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	1102 1025 1055 1090 1112 1073 1119	3 4 4 3 2 4 2	14 7 9 12 14 9 14	6 3 4 5 5 2 5 3	7 2 2 7 8 5 8	1 1 1 0 1 2 5	1080 1073 950 1083 986 1072 1097	3 3 4 3 4 3	7 5 0 7 10 8 8	9 12 8 12 5 10 17 6	7 4 3 6 2 4 7	4 5 2 4 2 5 4 3	20, 31 21 20, 30, 40, 50 20 20, 30 20, 31 20 20	

#### Performance Level Reading Scale Score Range Writing Scale Score Range

1 - Advanced (1141 - 1250) 2 - Proficient (1108 - 1140) (1100 - 1145) 3 - Partially Proficient (1051 - 1099) (1081 - 1107) ( 950 - 1080) ( 950 - 1050) 4 - Not Proficient

Page 1 of 3

NA - Data unavailable in this subject for this student because valid data for one or more

components of the subject are not available. See Guide to Reports for more information. \* Student does not count as assessed due to a nonstandard accommodation.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The ACT Writing score is included in the W1.3 Purpose and Audience data.

\*\*\* For more information go to <a href="http://www.act.org/aap/writing/sample/comments.html">http://www.act.org/aap/writing/sample/comments.html</a>

# Mathematics, Science, and Social Studies Student Rosters

The Student Roster provides detail information for each student assessed, reported by class or group. The detail information includes student subscores for each high school content standard assessed in mathematics and science. Social studies subscores are reported at the domain level. Page numbers are printed in the center at the bottom of each report page. Sample student rosters for mathematics, science, and social studies are presented on the following three pages.

**Section A** identifies the title of the report, the grade level reported, the assessment cycle, and the subject area. The class/group code (if provided by the school), the school name and code, and the district name and code are also provided.

**Section B** lists each student's name followed by their unique identification code (UIC) and date of birth (DOB).

**Section C** provides the following information, detailed by student:

- Scale Score
- Performance Level
- The following information reported by standard (mathematics and science) or by domain (social studies):
  - Number of possible points
  - Number of points earned by the student

**NOTE:** In Spring 2008, mathematics subscores began to be reported by standard. Since Spring 2009, science subscores are also reported by standard.

**NOTE:** "NA" in the Performance Level column indicates that the student did NOT receive a valid MME score in that subject area and does NOT count as assessed for AYP. Any of the five issues listed below will result in the student receiving an MME score that is NOT valid:

- 1) student received a nonstandard accommodation during test administration (standard subscore data will be reported),
- 2) student did not meet attemptedness in one or more of the required components for that subject,
- 3) student was dismissed for prohibited behavior during the test administration,
- 4) student was involved in a test misadministration on the part of the school, or the
- 5) student did not include the form code on their answer document (answer document could not be scored).



STUDENT ROSTER

Grade 11 Spring 2013 MME MATHEMATICS



District Name: SAMPLE DISTRICT

District Code: 99999

Class/Group: School Name: SAMPLE SCHOOL School Code: 99999

								Subs	cores						
Student Information	Scale Score	Performance Level	L1 Number Reasoning	L2 Calculations	L3 Logic & Proof	A1 Equations	A2 Functions	A3 Families of Functions	G1 Figures & Properties	G2 Figure Relationships	G3 Transformations	S1 Univariate Data	S2 Bivariate Data	S4 Probability Models	
Points Possible			6	8	2	11	5	4	17	2	1	3	2	1	
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXXXXXX UIC: 567890 DOB: 01/01/1900	1088	4	1	3	2	4	4	2	3	1	1	1	2	0	
LAS XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	1105	3	1	2	2	5	3	2	6	1	1	1	2	1	
UIC 1890 DOB: 01/01/1900 LAS XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	950	4	1	3	1	3	3	2	4	0	1	0	2	1	
UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900  LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXXXXXXX	1113		3			7	3	2	7		1	0		0	
UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900 LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXXXXXX	-	3		4	1			-					•		
UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900	1109	3	2	3	0	8	1	0	10	1	0		• <u>)</u> _	1	
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXXXXXX UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900	1093	3	3	0	1	3	2	1	7	1	1	0	1	1	
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXXXXXX UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900	950	4	0	3	0	0	5	3	5	0	1	0	1	0	
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXXXXXX	950	4	2	3	1	2	3	2	4	2	1	1	2	1	
UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900 LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXXXXXXX	1053	4	0	1	0	0	0	1	7	0	0	2	0	0	
UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900  LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXXXXXXX	1094							-							
UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900  LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXXXXXXX	<u> </u>	3	1	3	2	5	2	3	6	0	1	0	1	1	
UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900	950	4	1	3	0	1	0	2	3	2	1	1	0	0	
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXXXXXX UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900	1095	3	1	5	0	4	4	3	5	1	0	0	2	1	
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXXXXXX	1092	4	2	3	1	5	0	0	6	0	0	1	1	1	
UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900  LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXXXXXXX	950	4	1	3	1	3	3	2	3	1	0	0	1	1	
UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900  LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX FIRSTNAMEXXXXXX			Ė					-							
UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900	1099	3	2	3	2	4	4	3	6	1	1	1	2	0	

 Performance Level
 Scale Score Range

 1 - Advanced
 (1138 - 1250)

 2 - Proficient
 (1116 - 1137)

 3 - Partially Proficient
 (1093 - 1115)

 4 - Not Proficient
 (950 - 1092)

Page 1 of 3

NA - Data unavailable in this subject for this student because valid data for one or more components of the subject are not available. See Guide to Reports for more information.

<sup>\*</sup> Student does not count as assessed due to a nonstandard accommodation.



District Code: 99999

STUDENT ROSTER

Grade 12 Spring 2013 MME SCIENCE



Class/Group:

School Name: SAMPLE SCHOOL

School Code: 99999

										Subs	cores								
Student Information	Scale Score	Performance Level	R1 Inquiry & Reflection	E2 Earth Systems	E3 The Solid Earth	E4 The Fluid Earth	E5 Space & Time	B2 Org of Living Systems	B3 Environment	B4 Genetics	B5 Evolution & Biodiversity	P2 Motion of Objects	P3 Forces & Motion	P4a Energy Part a	P4b Energy Part b	C23 Energy Conservation	C4 Properties of Matter	C5 Changes in Matter	
Points Possible			25	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXXXXXXXX UIC: 20067890 DOB: 01/01/1900	950	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
LAP BOOK 1/1/1900  LAP BOOK THE TRANSPORT OF THE TRANSPOR	1075	4	10	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	
LAS XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	950	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900  LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXXXXXXXXX	1091	4	8	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	1.	~	2	1	2	1	2	1	
UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900  LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	1092	4	7	1	2	0	2	2	1	1	-	C`	1	2	1	1	0	1	
UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900  LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXXXXXXXXX	1099		<u> </u>		-			<del></del>			_/		) ·	<u> </u>					
UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900 LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX		4	10	2	2	2	1	2	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	1	
UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900	1055	4	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXXXXXXX UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900	1111	3	12	1	1	0	2	1	1	0	2	2	2	0	1	1	2	1	
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXXXXXXXX UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900	NA	NA																	
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXXXXXXXX UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900	1124	3	13	1	1	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXXXXXXXX UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900	1100	4	10	1	2	2	1	2	1	2	2	0	1	1	2	2	2	1	
No. of Students Reported = 11																			

 Performance Level
 Scale Score Range

 1 - Advanced
 (1144 - 1250)

1 - Advanced (1144 - 1250) 2 - Proficient (1126 - 1143) 3 - Partially Proficient (1106 - 1125) 4 - Not Proficient (950 - 1105) NA - Data unavailable in this subject for this student because valid data for one or more

Page 1 of 1

components of the subject are not available. See Guide to Reports for more information.

\* Student does not count as assessed due to a nonstandard accommodation.



District Code: 99999

STUDENT ROSTER

Grade 11 Spring 2013 **MME SOCIAL STUDIES** 



Subscores

Class/Group: School Name: **SAMPLE SCHOOL** School Code: 99999

				Su	bscor	es	
Student Information	Scale Score	Performance Level	World History & Geography	US History & Geography	Civics	Economics	Inquiry
Points Possible			10	10	6	6	6
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXX UIC 34567890 DOB: 01/01/1900	1100	3	4	5	2	1	2
B EXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXX	1157	2	6	9	6	5	3
LX EXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXX	1157	2	9	9	6	4	1
UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900 LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXX	1237	1	10	10	6	6	6
UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900  LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXX	1072	4	3	1	0	0	4
UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900  LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXX		<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900	1100	3	4	1	2	4	4
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXX UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900	1047	4	0	0	0	0	4
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXX UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900	1173	1	9	9	5	4	4
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXX UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900	1111	3	5	6	2	2	3
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXX	1128	3	5	6	4	4	4
UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900  LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXX	1059	4	0	2	1	0	2
UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900  LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX FIRSTNAMEXX		<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900	1205	1	10	9	5	5	4
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXX UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900	1118	3	10	6	0	0	4
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXX UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900	1205	1	10	10	5	6	2
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXX	1173	1	9	9	4	4	5
UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900		<u> </u>					

Student Information	Scale Score	Performance Level	World History & Geography	US History & Geography	Civics	Economics	Inquiry
Points Possible			10	10	6	6	6
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXX UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900	1237	1	9	10	5	6	4
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXX UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900	1072	4	0	2	1	1	4
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXX UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900	1101	3	4	2	1	3	5
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXX UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900	1093	4	4	2	1	2	4
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXX UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900	1146	2	9		5	2	3
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXX UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900	1173	1	Γ( (	$\mathbf{C}$	5	6	2
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXX UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900	1055	4	1	0	0	0	4
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXX UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900	1047	4	0	1	0	0	3
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXX UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900	1111	3	6	3	3	1	5
LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXX	1072	4	1	3	0	0	4
UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900 LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXX	1087	4	5	2	2	2	1
UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900 LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXX	1137	2	5	7	4	6	3
UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900 LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXX	1243	1	10	10	5	6	3
UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900  LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXX	1141	2	6	7	4	5	4
UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900  LASTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXX	1191	1	9	9	5	5	4
UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1900	1191	Ľ	Б	J	J	9	*

NA - Data unavailable in this subject for this student because valid data for one or more

Performance Level Scale Score Range (1158 - 1250) (1129 - 1157) (1097 - 1128) 1 - Advanced 2 - Proficient 3 - Partially Proficient 4 - Not Proficient (950 - 1096)

Page 1 of 2

components of the subject are not available. See Guide to Reports for more information. \* Student does not count as assessed due to a nonstandard accommodation.

#### **Student Record Label**

A Student Record Label is provided for each student assessed during the Spring 2013 cycle. The labels are mailed to the school for placement in the student record file (CA-60).

**Section A** contains the district name and code and the school name and code.

**Section B** contains the student's name, district student ID number (if provided by the school), student's state unique identification code (UIC), date of birth, gender, grade level when the assessment was administered, and the MME administration cycle.

**Section C** contains MME subject areas assessed, the scale score received, and the performance level the student attained in each subject area:

- Level 1 Advanced
- Level 2 Proficient
- Level 3 Partially Proficient
- Level 4 Not Proficient

A 99999 SAMPLE DISTRICT 99999 SAMPLE SCHOOL

STU# 12345678901234567890

#### 

UIC# 1234567890

DOB - 01/01/1900

Gender - M

Grade - 11

Spring 2013

mme™

Subject	Scale Score	Performance Level
Reading	1086	3-Partially Proficient
Writing	1028	4-Not Proficient

Mathematics	950	4-Not Proficient
Science	1087	4-Not Proficient
Social Studies	1173	1-Advanced

#### **Parent Report**

The intent of the Parent Report is to provide a summary description of student performance in each subject area assessed on the MME for each student's parent/quardian. This report is designed to help parents and quardians identify the academic strengths of their student and areas that may need improvement. Information from this report may be helpful when discussing academic progress of the student with the classroom teacher(s). High schools must have a plan for distributing the Parent Reports to parents and quardians so that distribution can occur as soon as possible after the printed reports arrive.

**Section A** identifies the title of the report, the grade level the student was in when the assessment was administered, the assessment cycle, the district name and code, and the school name and code where the student was enrolled at the time the assessment was administered.


**Section B** provides the name and state unique identification code (UIC) of the student.

**Section C** provides a general description of the four possible student performance levels.

**Section D** provides information to parents/quardians about how to interpret and use this report.

**Section E** provides a letter to parents/quardians from Michigan's Superintendent of Public Instruction concerning their student's academic achievement on the MME.

**Section F** provides a summary of students' academic achievement on the MME, including scale scores and performance levels for each subject.



MMF Social Studies

Spring 2013 Run Date: 04/15/2013 P0FUMC001

3-Partially Proficient

D

The student's performa

**Section G** describes the multiple components of the MME and provides instruction on how to find additional assistance interpreting the Parent Report.

**Section H** provides student results on the ACT assessments.

**Section I** provides student results on the WorkKeys assessments, including National Career Readiness Certificate (NCRC) eligibility.

**Sections J1-J6** describe how the student performed in each subject area, on each subject area standard or domain, and the total points possible for the standard/domain. The brief explanation for each subject area provides the performance level score the student attained, as well as information on how the student's performance relates to Michigan high school standards. For example, if a student received a Level 2 on the MME mathematics assessment, that student is "Proficient" in Michigan high school mathematics standards. A graph is provided for each subject to visually depict that subject's scale score ranges, cut scores, and the student's scale score in that subject. The horizontal bar that extends out left and right of the student's scale score represents the standard error of measurement. This standard error represents the range in which the student's score would fall if they had taken the same test or a similar test on a different day.

#### 

The Michigan Merit Examination (MME) includes three major components: the ACT college entrance examination, WorkKeys job skills assessments, and Michigan developed nation, WorkKeys job skills ass these three components round out the coverage of Michigan's high WorkKeys test items are not eligible for the NCRC.

The ACT is th accepted college entrance examination in the United States. It assesses high successful in co nts' general educational development and their potential to be coursework. The ACT exam includes assessments of English, Mathematics, Reading, Science, and Writing. The ACT results are accepted by most colleges If you have questions about the MME or this report, please talk to and universities for college entrance.

WorkKeys is a job skills assessment system measuring real-world skills, designed to support economic and workforce development programs. The MME WorkKeys components assess Reading for Information, Applied Mathematics, and Locating Information, Skills assessed by WorkKeys are valued by Michigan employers, colleges, and technical training institutions.
Students who receive qualifying scores on the three WorkKeys assessments taken as part of the MME are eligible for the National Career Readiness Certificate (NCRC).

Qualified English Language Learner students who received translation assistance, in a language other than English, for

The Michigan developed components of the MME include aspects of Mathematics, Science, and Social Studies that are not covered by the ACT or WorkKeys assessments.

your student's counselor or principal, who will be able to assist you in interpreting this information.

For more information, please visit www.michigan.gov/mme

Students took the ACT as one part of the MME. The ACT consists of four multiple-choice tests (English, Mathematics, Reading, and Science) and a Writing test. Selected items from the ACT contributed to your student's MME dition, your student's ACT scores provide a measure of four multiple-choice ACT tests are scored on a scale of site score is the average of the scale scores for the four The ACT Writing Test is scored on a range of 2–12. An multiplee is also provided on a scale of 1-36 and is based on the ACT English Test and the ACT Writing Test

A student who met ACT's College Readiness Benchmarks is generally ready to succeed in first-year college-level work. The Benchmark scores are: 18 for English, 22 for Math, 21 for Reading, and 24 for Science.

Component	Score	Component	Score
English	16	English/Writing	18
Mathematics	16	Writing	8
Reading	14		
Science	21		
Composite	17		

#### WorkKevs:

WorkKeys Reading for Information, Applied Mathematics, and Locating Information assess real-world skills employers consider critical to job success. The assessments measure portions of the content in the Michigan Curriculum Framework.


Selected items from WorkKeys contributed to your student's MME Math, Reading, and Social Studies Keys tests range from 3-7 for Reading for Information and scale scores. The Level Scores for the mation. The bottom and top of the ranges indicate the Applied Mathematics, and 3-6 for lowest and highest levels of comp el is built on the previous one. A score at Level 5 means lents of Levels 3 and 4. The test scores relate to the skill e to the ranges. Additional information on understanding and the test taker has successfully m ranges and how the test taker per using the WorkKeys scores and earning the National Career Readiness Certificate can be located at http://www.act.org/workkeys/assess. More information about WorkKeys can be located at http://www.act.org/workkevs.

Students may qualify for a National Career Readiness Certificate (NCRC) based on WorkKeys Level scores earned during the Spring 2012 MME. Eligibility for a bronze credential requires at least a Level 3, a silver credential requires at least a Level 4, a gold credential requires at least a Level 5, and a platinum credential requires at least a Level 6 on all three WorkKeys tests

Qualified ELL students who received translation assistance, in a language other than English, for WorkKeys test items are not eligible for the NCRC.

Component	Score/Level
Reading for Information	5
Applied Mathematics	5
Locating Information	4
National Career Readiness Certificate Eligibility **	Silver

<sup>\*</sup> For more information go to http://www.act.org/certificate/use.html



**NOTE:** The MME results for individual students are most reliable at the subject area scale-score level. These scale scores also are reliably associated with a performance level. Parents/guardians can have confidence that the reported subject area scale scores and performance levels provide accurate information for each subject.

Student subscores for standards or domains are also provided in these Parent Reports. These are less reliable measures than subject scores and performance levels because there are fewer items within standards and domains than on the total subject test. These results provide an approximate measure of the level of performance of the student.

Parents/guardians should be careful in drawing conclusions about a student's strengths or weaknesses at the standard or domain level. It is more appropriate to use this standard and domain information together with classroom assessment data, teacher-provided information, and other performance information to guide a student's learning activities.

#### **Individual Student Report**

The intent of the Individual Student Report is to provide detailed performance information about an individual student to teachers and other school personnel. A sample individual student report is presented on the following page. High schools will find the information reflecting student strengths and weaknesses, ACT results, and level of the National Career Readiness Certificate (NCRC) eligibility useful when discussing MME performance levels with a student before filing the report in the student's record file.

**Section A** identifies the title of the report, the grade level, the assessment cycle, the district name and code, and the school name and code.

**Section B** contains the student demographic information provided by the school: student name, local district student ID number, date of birth, the student's state unique identification code (UIC), as well as subgroup classifications for gender, ethnicity, English language learner, Formerly Limited English Proficient (FLEP) and special education.

**Section C** contains MME subjects, the scale score received, and the performance level the student attained in each area.

**Section D** provides individual student data for each MME subject area, an indicator of whether the student tested with accommodations in that subject, and subscores within the subject. It includes the possible points and points earned, scale score, and performance level.

**Section E** displays the student's scores on the ACT as provided by ACT. If a student took the ACT with state-allowed accommodations, the ACT scores are not college reportable and will be flagged as not college reportable with a footnote on the report.

**Section F** displays the student's scores on the WorkKeys as provided by ACT. If a student achieves a score of 5 or higher on all three WorkKeys tests, the student is eligible for a Gold National Career Readiness Certificate (NCRC). A student achieving a score of 4 or higher on all three tests, is eligible for a Silver NCRC, and a score of 3 or higher on all tests, is eligible for a Bronze NCRC. Starting in 2011, ACT introduced the Platinum Level of the Certificate, which requires a score of 6 or higher on each of the three WorkKeys tests.

The parent report contains a statement on the students' NCRC eligibility and explains that students who used a translated version (video or reader script accommodation) on one or more of the WorkKeys tests, are not eligible for the NCRC. For more information on the NCRC, please visit <a href="https://www.myworkkeys.com">www.myworkkeys.com</a>.



# INDIVIDUAL STUDENT REPORT Grade 11

Spring 2013

REPORT



District Name: SAMPLE DISTRICT District Code: 99999

Student Name: LASTNAME District Student ID: 1234567890 Gender: M

English Language Learner: N

XXXXXXXXXXX, FIRSTNAMEXXXXXXXXXXXXXX 90Date of Birth: 01/01/1900 State UIC: 1234567890

Ethnicity: White Formerly LEP: N State 010. 12545070.

			ool Code: 99999			
s	ubject	Scale Score	Performance Level	Subject	Scale Score	Performance Level
N	IME Reading	950	4-Not Proficient	MME Mathematics	1088	4-Not Proficient
N	IME Writing	999	4-Not Proficient	MME Science	1128	2-Proficient
M	ME Social Studies	1100	3-Partially Proficient			

School Name: SAMPLE SCHOOL

Michigan Merit Examination Subscores	Earned / Possible Points	Scale Score	Performance Level
MME Reading		950	4-Not Proficient
Accommodations: Standard			
Subscores:			
R2.1 Strategy Development	4 / 27		
Tiziz Wedining Beyond Elleran	2/10		
R2.3 Independent Reading	2/8		
L3.1 Close Literature Reading	0/6		
MME Writing		999	4-Not Proficient
Accommodations: Standard			
Subscores:			
W1.1 Writing Process	4 / 17		
W1.3 Purpose and Audience	5 / 29		
ACT Writing *	3 / 12		
LAN4.1 Effective Use of Language	2/11		
MME Social Studies		1100	3-Partially Proficient
Accommodations: Standard			
Subscores:			
World History & Geography	4 / 10		
US History & Geography	5/10		
Civics	2/6		
Economics	1/6		
Inquiry	2/6		

	A	СТ				WorkKeys	
Component	Score	Component	(	E	re	Component	evel
English	08	English/Writing	1		57	Reading for Information	<b>√</b> 3
Mathematics	14	Writing		(	)3	Applied Mathematics	<3
Reading	09					Locating Information	<3
Science	07					National Career Readiness Certificate	Not Eligible
Composite	10					Eligibility **	140t Eligible

<sup>\*</sup> The ACT Writing score is included in the W1.3 Purpose and Audience subscore data.

	Michigan Merit Examination Subscores	Earned / Possible Points	Scale Score	Performance Level
1	MME Mathematics		1088	4-Not Proficient
1	Accommodations: Standard			
1	Subscores:			
1	L1 Reasoning about Numbers	1/6		
]	L2 Calculations, Algorithms	3/8		
1	L3 Math Reasoning, Logic & Proof	2/2		
1	A1 Expressions & Equations	4 / 11		
1	A2 Functions	4/5		
1	A3 Families of Functions	2/4		
1	G1 Figures & Properties	3 / 17		
1	G2 Relationships between Figures	1/2		
1	G3 Transformations of Figures	1/1		
1	S1 Univariate Data: Distributions	1/3		
1	S2 Bivariate Data: Relationships	2/2		
1	S4 Probability Models, Operations	0/1		
1				
1	MME Science		1128	2-Proficient
1	Accommodations: Standard			
1	Subscores:			
1	R1 Inquiry & Reflection	6 / 25		
1	E2 Earth Systems	2/2		
1	E3 The Solid Earth	2/2		
1	E4 The Fluid Earth	2/2		
1	E5 Earth in Space & Time	2/2		
=	B2 Organization of Living Systems	2/2		
ı	B3 Living Systems & Environment	2/2		
1	B4 Genetics	2/2		
┪	B5 Evolution & Biodiversity	2/2		
4	P2 Motion of Objects	2/2		
ı	P3 Forces & Motion	2/2		
1	P4a Energy Part a	2/2		
4	P4b Energy Part b	1/2		
,	C23 Energy Transfer & Conservation	2/2		
1	C4 Properties of Matter	2/2		
ı	C5 Changes in Matter	2/2		
	** Students administered WorkKeys assessments with translated test materials	ara nat aliaih	a for the N	letional Career Deadiness

<sup>\*\*</sup> Students administered WorkKeys assessments with translated test materials are not eligible for the National Career Readiness Certificate (NORC). Qualified ELL students who received translation assistance, in a language other than English, for WorkKeys test items are not eligible for the NCRC.

Page 1 of 39

<sup>\*\*</sup> For more information go to <a href="http://www.act.org/certificate/use.html">http://www.act.org/certificate/use.html</a>.

#### **Demographic Report**

The Demographic Report provides a summary breakdown of scores by demographic subgroup for each subject area assessed. A sample demographic report is presented on the following pages. Summary data reported includes the number of students assessed in each subgroup, the mean scale score, the percentage of students attaining each performance level, and the percentage of students attaining "Advanced" and "Proficient" within each subject area. The Demographic Report is generated for three student populations:

- All students
- Students with disabilities (SWD)
- All except students with disabilities (AESWD)

The demographic subgroup scores are reported by school and district. The demographic subgroups reported are:

- Gender
- Ethnicity
- Economically Disadvantaged (ED)
- English Language Learners (ELL)
- Formerly Limited English Proficient (FLEP)
- Migrant
- Homeless

Accommodations subgroups are also reported as follows:

- Standard accommodations (all students)
- Non-standard accommodations (all students)
- Standard accommodations (for English language learners)
- Non-standard accommodations (for English language learners)

**Section A** identifies the title of the report, the student population included in the report, the grade level, and the assessment cycle. The district name and code and school name and code, as appropriate, are also provided.

**Section B** lists the demographic subgroups, as well as the total student population being reported. Ethnicity subgroups are defined by federal requirements.

**Section C** reports the number of students included in the subgroup, the mean scale score, the percentage of students attaining each performance level, and the percentage of students attaining the "Advanced" and "Proficient" performance levels within each subject area.

This is a multiple-page report with reading and writing scores reported on one page and mathematics, science, and social studies scores reported on another page for each of the three student population groups:

- All students
- Students with disabilities (SWD)
- All except students with disabilities (AESWD)



#### DISTRICT DEMOGRAPHIC REPORT

**All Students** 





District Name: **SAMPLE DISTRICT**District Code: **99999** 

Grade 11 Spring 2013

			MME READING					M	IME '	WRIT	ring			MME MATHEMATICS								
District		No. of Students Assessed	Mean Scale Score	Level 4	Level 3	Percent a Level 2		Levels	No. of Students Assessed	Mean Scale Score	Level 4	Level 3	Percent a Level 2		Levels	No. of Students Assessed	Mean Scale Score	Level 4		Percent a Level 2	at Level 1	Levels
Total All Students		475	1116	10	23	47	20	67	474	1103	4	38	53	5	58	473	1090	24	46	27	3	30
Gender																						
Male		255	1113	14	24	42	20	62	254	1098	6	43	47	5	52	254	1087	27	42	28	4	31
Female		220	1121	5	21	53	20	73	220	1108	1	32	60	6	66	219	1093	21	51	26	2	27
Ethnicity																						
American Indian or Alaska Native		<							<							<						
Asian (B)		<							<							<						
Black or African n		<							<		/					<						
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific I	slander	<							<			C	)			<						
White		458	1116	10	23	47	20	67	457	1103	4		54	5	59	456	1092	23	47	27	3	30
Two or More Races		<							<							<						
Hispanic of Any Race		<							<							<						
Additional Reporting Groups																						
Economically Disadvantaged	Yes	92	1103	17	28	40	14	54	92	1086	10	53	35	2	37	92	1065	39	47	14	0	14
	No	383	1119	8	22	49	22	70	382	1107	2	34	57	6	64	381	1095	21	46	30	3	33
English Language Learners	Yes	<							<							<						
	No	474	1117	10	23	47	20	67	473	1103	3	38	53	5	59	472	1090	24	46	27	3	30
Formerly Limited English Proficier	nt	<							<							<						
Migrant		<							<							<						
Homeless		<							<							<						
Accommodations																						
Standard - All		28	1093	36	21	32	11	43	28	1079	21	64	7	7	14	28	1076	39	43	18	0	18
Nonstandard - All **		<							<							<						
Standard - ELL Only		<							<							<						
Nonstandard - ELL Only **		<							<							<						

Reading Scale Score Range | Writing Scale Score Range | Mathematics Scale Score Range Performance Level 1 & 2 - Advanced and Proficient (1108 - 1250) (1100 - 1250) (1116 - 1250) (1141 - 1250) (1146 - 1250) (1138 - 1250) 1 - Advanced 2 - Proficient 3 - Partially Proficient (1108 - 1140) (1100 - 1145) (1116 - 1137) (1093 - 1115) (1051 - 1099) (1081 - 1107)

( 950 - 1050)

(950 - 1080)

Note: Due to rounding, percentages may not total 100%.

Page 1 of 6

( 950 - 1092)

Spring 2013 Run Date: 04/15/2013 P0FUMN001

4 - Not Proficient

<sup>&</sup>lt; No summary scores or percents provided if less than 10 students.</p>
\* Value may not equal the exact sum of Level 1 & Level 2 due to rounding.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Results for these students are invalid and not reported. They are not included in the Total Students count.



#### DISTRICT DEMOGRAPHIC REPORT

**All Students** 

District Name: SAMPLE DISTRICT District Code: 99999

Grade 11 Spring 2013

			М	ME S	SCIE	NCE			MME SOCIAL STUDIES						
	No. of	Mean			ercent a			No. of	Mean			ercent a			
District		Students Assessed	Scale Score	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Levels 1 & 2 *	Students Assessed	Scale Score	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Levels 1 & 2 *
Total All Students		473	1099	57	25	11	7	18	475	1127	32	29	16	23	39
Gender															
Male		254	1099	56	26	11	7	18	255	1126	35	28	13	25	38
Female		219	1100	58	23	12	7	19	220	1128	29	30	20	21	41
Ethnicity															
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e	<							<						
Asian		<							<						
Black or African American		<							<						
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific	c Islander	<		( '	L)				<						
White		456	1100	56	25	12	7	18	458	1127	31	29	17	23	40
Two or More Races		<							<						
Hispanic of Any Race		<							<						
Additional Reporting Groups															
Economically Disadvantaged	Yes	92	1090	65	18	12	4	16	92	1127	30	27	20	23	42
(B)	No	381	1102	55	26	11	8	19	383	1127	32	30	15	23	38
English Langu rners	Yes	<							<						
	No	472	1099	57	25	11	7	18	474	1127	32	29	16	23	39
Formerly Limited English Profici	ent	<							<						
Migrant		<							<						
Homeless		<							<						
Accommodations															
Standard - All		28	1092	32	32	32	4	36	28	1117	43	29	14	14	29
Nonstandard - All **									<						
Standard - ELL Only		<							<						
Nonstandard - ELL Only **		<							<						

#### Science Scale Score Range Social Studies Scale Score Range Performance Level

1 & 2 - Advanced and Proficient (1126 - 1250) (1129 - 1250) 1 - Advanced (1144 - 1250) (1158 - 1250) 2 - Proficient (1126 - 1143) (1129 - 1157) 3 - Partially Proficient (1106 - 1125) (1097 - 1128) 4 - Not Proficient ( 950 - 1105) ( 950 - 1096)

Note: Due to rounding, percentages may not total 100%.

Page 2 of 6

<sup>&</sup>lt; No summary scores or percents provided if less than 10 students.</p>
\* Value may not equal the exact sum of Level 1 & Level 2 due to rounding.
\*\* Results for these students are invalid and not reported. They are not included in the Total Students count.

#### **Summary Report**

The Summary Report provides a comparative set of mean scale score information for the grade level by subject area and the percentage of students in the district or school (or for the entire state) at each performance level. A sample summary report is presented on the following two pages.

**Section A** identifies the title of the report, the student population included in the report, grade level, assessment cycle, district name and code, and school name and code, as appropriate.

**Section B** shows summary data for the current assessment and the preceding four years. The summary data by subject area includes number of students assessed, mean scale score, mean scale score margin of error<sup>1</sup>, percentage of students attaining

each performance level, and percentage of students attaining the "Advanced" and "Proficient" performance levels combined.

**Section C** gives summary data for each high school content standard (or domain in social studies). The summary data reported includes the number of students assessed in each subject, the descriptor for each content standard assessed, the mean points earned, the number of points possible, and the percentage of students scoring in each raw score range.

 $^1$  Scale score margin of error is equivalent to the Mean score  $\pm 1$  standard error of the mean. This is the likely range within which the true average scale score would fall for the students listed on this report.



#### DISTRICT SUMMARY REPORT

**All Students** 

Grade 11 Spring 2013



District Name: SAMPLE DISTRICT

District Code: 99999

#### MME READING

* No. of	Sca	le Score	Performance Levels								
Students Assessed	Mean Wal		4-Not Proficient	3-Partially Proficient	2-Proficient	1-Advanced	*** Levels 1 & 2				
ore Range	(95	0-1250)	(950-1080)	(1081-1107)	(1108-1140)	(1141-1250)	(1108-1250)				
475	1116	1115-1118	10%	23%	47%	20%	67%				
477	1114	1111-1117	10%	R	46%	16%	62%				
442	1112	1109-1115	12%	ے کی ال	48%	15%	63%				
481	1114	1111-1117	10%	25%	49%	16%	65%				
464	1112	1109-1115	10%	33%	41%	16%	56%				
	Students Assessed core Range 475 477 442 481	*No. of Students Assessed Mean core Range (95 475 1116 477 1114 442 1112 481 1114	Students Assessed         Mean         ** Margin of Error           rore Range         (950-1250)           475         1116         1115-1118           477         1114         1111-1117           442         1112         1109-1115           481         1114         1111-1117	**No. of Students Assessed Mean ***Margin of Error Proficient (950-1250) (950-1080) 475 1116 1115-1118 10% 477 1114 1111-1117 10% 442 1112 1109-1115 12% 481 1114 1111-1117 10%	**No. of Students Assessed Mean ***Margin of Error Proficient Proficient Of Error Proficient Proficient Of Error Of Error Proficient Of Error	**Moorp Students Assessed Mean ***Margin of Error Proficient	**No. of Students Assessed Mean of Error Proficient Proficient 2-Proficient 1-Advanced Proficient 2-Proficient 1-Advanced Profice Range (950-1250) (950-1080) (1081-1107) (1108-1140) (1141-1250) 475 1116 1115-1118 10% 23% 47% 20% 477 1114 1111-1117 10% 46% 16% 46% 16% 442 1112 1109-1115 12% 48% 15% 481 1114 1111-1117 10% 25% 49% 16%				

#### MME WRITING

	* No. of	Sca	le Score		Pe	rformance Lev	els		
Year	Students Assessed	Mean	** Margin of Error	4-Not Proficient	3-Partially Proficient	2-Proficient	1-Advanced	*** Levels 1 & 2	
Scale Sc	core Range	(950-1250)		(950-1050)	(1051-1099)	(1100-1145)	(1146-1250)	(1100-1250)	
2013	474	1103	1101-1104	4%	38%	53%	5%	58%	
2012	479	1104	1101-1107	4%	34%	55%	7%	61%	
2011	445	1099	1095-1103	6%	36%	53%	5%	58%	
2010	480	1100	1097-1103	4%	41%	50%	4%	54%	
2009	463	1099	1096-1102	4%	44%	47%	5%	52%	

#### **MME MATHEMATICS**

Includes students who received valid scores.

This is the likely range within which the true mean scale score would fall for the students listed on this report.

	* No. of	Sca	le Score		Performance Levels								
Year	Students Assessed	Mean	** Margin of Error	4-Not Proficient	3-Partially Proficient	2-Proficient	1-Advanced	*** Levels 1 & 2					
Scale S	core Range	(95	0-1250)	(950-1092)	(1093-1115)	(1116-1137)	(1138-1250)	(1116-1250)					
2013	473	1090	1087-1092	24%	46%	27%	3%	30%					
2012	477	1107	1105-1109	21%	41%	31%	6%	38%					
2011	439	1105	1102-1108	25%	32%	35%	8%	44%					
2010	475	1104	1101-1107	23%	41%	29%	6%	36%					
2009	462	1103	1100-1106	28%	37%	29%	6%	35%					

#### MME SCIENCE

	* No. of	Sca	le Score	Performance Levels									
Year	Year Assessed		** Margin of Error	4-Not Proficient	3-Partially Proficient	2-Proficient	1-Advanced	*** Levels 1 & 2					
Scale So	core Range	(95	0-1250)	(950-1105)	(1106-1125)	(1126-1143)	(1144-1250)	(1126-1250)					
2013	473	1099	1098-1101	57%	25%	11%	7%	18%					
2012	477	1112	1109-1115	33%	32%	22%	13%	35%					
2011	439	1110	1106-1114	37%	30%	19%	14%	33%					
2010	476	1112	1109-1115	36%	29%	23%	12%	35%					
2009	461	1109	1106-1112	38%	31%	23%	9%	32%					

#### **MME SOCIAL STUDIES**

	* No. of	Sca	le Score	Performance Levels										
Year	Students Assessed	Mean	** Margin of Error	4-Not Proficient	3-Partially Proficient	2-Proficient	1-Advanced	*** Levels 1 & 2						
Scale S	core Range	(95	0-1250)	(950-1096)	(1097-1128)	(1129-1157)	(1158-1250)	(1129-1250)						
2013	475	1127	1125-1129	32%	29%	16%	23%	39%						
2012	479	1130	1127-1133	13%	35%	38%	14%	52%						
2011	440	1126	1123-1129	18%	32%	40%	10%	50%						
2010	477	1129	1127-1131	9%	44%	35%	12%	47%						
2009	460	1134	1131-1137	8%	39%	36%	17%	53%						

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Value may not equal the exact sum of Level 1 & Level 2 due to rounding.

Due to rounding, percentages may not total 100%.



#### **DISTRICT SUMMARY REPORT**

**All Students** 

Grade 11 Spring 2013



Subscore	No. of Students Assessed	Students   Mean   Points   Percent of Students Scoring in Each Raw Score Range														
MME Reading	475			0	1	2	3	4	5-6	7-9	10-12	13-15	16-18	19-21	22-24	25-2
R2.1 Strategy Development		16.6	27	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	14	20	23	18	13	4
R2.2 Meaning Beyond Literal		6.4	10	0	0	3	8	8	31	43	7					
R2.3 Independent Reading		6.6	8	0	1	1	1	4	32	61						
L3.1 Close Literature Reading		3.1	6	3	13	20	23	21	20							
MME Writing	474			0	1	2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-11	12-14	15-17	18-20	21-23	24-26	27-2
W1.1 Writing Process		10.5	17	0	0	0	4	10	15	28	27	14	10 = 0			
W1.3 Purpose and Audience		16.6	29	0	0	0	0	1	3	9	19	23	25	14	6	0
ACT Writing*		7.2	12	0	0	3	5	17	61	13	0					
LAN4.1 Effective Use of Language		7.4	11	0	0	2	12	17	33	35						
MME Mathematics	473		<u> </u>	ő	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8-9	10-11	12-13	14-15	16-1
L1 Reasoning about Numbers		2.4	6	8	21	26	23	15	5	2	-	-				
L2 Calculations, Algorithms		3.7	8	2	8	13	22	21	19	10	4	1				
L3 Math Reasoning, Logic & Proof		0.9	2	38	30	32			10	10	7	-				
A1 Expressions & Equations		6.1	11	1	4	6	10	10	13	12	11	20	14			
A2 Functions		2.4	5	7	22	22	27	18	5				1.7			
A3 Families of Functions		_ /	4	18	26	23	29	5	Ŭ							_
G1 Figures & Properties	<del></del>		17	0	1	3	3	4	8	12	12	23	14	12	7	1
G2 Relationships between Figures	<del>                                     </del>	<b>-</b> /-	2	25	50	24		7	_	12	12	25	17	12		Ė
G3 Transformations of Figures	_	0.5	1	49	51	24										
S1 Univariate Data: Distributions		1.3	3	22	37	30	11									_
S2 Bivariate Data: Relationships		1.0	2	35	32	33	- 11									-
S4 Probability Models, Operations		0.9	1	13	87	33										-
MME Science	473	0.9		0	1	2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10	11-12	13-14	15-16	17-19	20-22	23-2
R1 Inquiry & Reflection	4/3	14.3	25	0	0	0	0	3	8	8	13	18	19	19	11	1
E2 Earth Systems		0.9	23	36	33	31	0	3	0	0	13	10	19	19	- 11	÷
E3 The Solid Earth		1.0	2	36	32	32										-
E4 The Fluid Earth		0.9	2	39	28	33										-
E5 Earth in Space & Time		1.0	2	37	29	34										-
B2 Organization of Living Systems		0.9	2	41	32	27										-
B3 Living Systems & Environment		0.8	2	45	29	26										-
B4 Genetics		0.8	2	42	31	27										-
B5 Evolution & Biodiversity		0.9	2	38	30	32										-
P2 Motion of Objects		1.0	2	33	29	38										-
P3 Forces & Motion		1.0	2	34	32	34										-
P4a Energy Part a				35		34										-
		1.0	2		31											-
P4b Energy Part b		1.1 0.9	2	30 39	31 28	38										
C23 Energy Transfer & Conservation C4 Properties of Matter		0.9	2		28	33 32										
				41												
C5 Changes in Matter	475	1.0	2	37	30	33	-		-	_	-			40		-
MME Social Studies	475		L 40	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
World History & Geography		5.5	10	8	8	9	8	10	7	9	7	5	9	20		
US History & Geography		5.0	10	13	9	9	7	7	7	9	7	8	10	13		
Civics		2.5	6	25	14	13	12	13	12	11						
Economics		2.8	6	23	11	13	12	13	12	15						
Inquiry		4.2	6	0	1	5	13	47	24	10						

<sup>\*</sup> The ACT Writing score is included in the W1.3 Purpose and Audience subscore data.

Due to rounding, percentages may not total 100%.

Page 2 of 6

#### **Comprehensive Report**

The Comprehensive Report provides a comparative set of mean scale score information for the grade level for the entire district and for each school in the district (for a district-level report). For an ISD report, it provides the data for the ISD as a whole and for each district and public school academy in the ISD. It also includes the percentage of students at each performance level. A sample district comprehensive report is provided on the following page.

**Section A** identifies the title of the report, the student population included in the report, grade level, assessment cycle, and district name and code.

**Section B** of a district comprehensive report provides a row of data for the district, and a row of data for each public school within the district. Each row includes the number of students assessed, the mean scale score and the percentage of students at each performance level along with the percentage of students who attained a performance level of Advanced and Proficient combined.

For an ISD comprehensive report, there is one row of data for the ISD, one row for each public school district in the ISD, and one row for each public school academy within the boundaries of the ISD.



#### **DISTRICT COMPREHENSIVE REPORT**

All Students





District Name: **SAMPLE DISTRICT** District Code: **99999** 

Grade 11 Spring 2013

		M	ME F	REAL	<u>DING</u>				M	ME	WRI1	<u> </u>				<u>MM</u> E	MA.	THE	MAT	<u>cs</u>	
	No. of	Mean			ercent a	at		No. of	Mean		. F	ercent a	at		No. of	Mean			ercent a	ıt	
istrict	Students Assessed	Scale Score	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Levels 1 & 2 *	Students Assessed	Scale Score	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Levels 1 & 2 *	Students Assessed	Scale Score	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Leve
AMPLE DISTRICT	475	1116	10	23	47	20	67	474	1103	4	38	53	5	58	473	1090	24	46	27	3	30
(B)																					
ample School	39	1084	31	46	23	0	23	39	1054	28	69	3	0	3	39	1033	59	41	0	0	0
						-						_	-	_					-		
																					П
																					Т

Performance Level	Reading Scale Score Range	Writing Scale Score Range	Mathematics Scale Score Range
1 & 2 - Advanced and Proficient	(1108 - 1250)	(1100 - 1250)	(1116 - 1250)
1 - Advanced	(1141 - 1250)	(1146 - 1250)	(1138 - 1250)
2 - Proficient	(1108 - 1140)	(1100 - 1145)	(1116 - 1137)
3 - Partially Proficient	(1081 - 1107)	(1051 - 1099)	(1093 - 1115)
4 - Not Proficient	( 950 - 1080)	( 950 - 1050)	( 950 - 1092)
			Page 1 of 6

<sup>&</sup>lt; No summary scores or percents provided if less than 10 students. Note: Due to rounding, percentages may not total 100%.



#### DISTRICT COMPREHENSIVE REPORT

**All Students** 



District Name: **SAMPLE DISTRICT** District Code: **99999** 

Grade 11 Spring 2013

		MME SCIENCE					MME SOCIAL STUDIES							
District	No. of Students Assessed	Mean Scale Score	Level 4	Level	Percent a	t Level	Levels	No. of Students Assessed	Mean Scale Score	Level 4	Level	Percent a	at Level	Levels 1 & 2 *
SAMPLE DISTRICT	473	1099	57	25	11	7	18	475	1127	32	29	16	23	39
(B)					_	_								
Sample School	39	1090	72	18	8	3	10	39	1129	33	23	15	28	44

 Performance Level
 Science Scale Score Range
 Social Studies Scale Score Range

 1 & 2 - Advanced and Proficient
 (1126 - 1250)
 (1129 - 1250)

1 A 22 - Advanced Prolicient (1126 - 1250) (1129 - 1250)
1 - Advanced (1144 - 1250) (1158 - 1250)
2 - Proficient (1126 - 1143) (1129 - 1157)
3 - Partially Proficient (1106 - 1125) (1097 - 1128)
4 - Not Proficient (950 - 1105) (950 - 1096)

\* Value may not equal the exact sum of Level 1 & Level 2 due to rounding.

< No summary scores or percents provided if less than 10 students. Note: Due to rounding, percentages may not total 100%.

Page 2 of 6

# **Acronyms/Glossary**

**AYP....** Adequate Yearly Progress

**AESWD** . . . All Except Students with Disabilities

**BAA**.... Bureau of Assessment and Accountability

**CA-60** . . . . Refers to the cumulative student record folder

**CR** . . . . . . Constructed Response

**DOB**.... Date of Birth

**ED** . . . . . . Economically Disadvantaged

**ELL** . . . . . English Language Learner

**FLEP** . . . . . Formerly Limited English Proficient

**GLCE** . . . . . Grade Level Content Expectation

GPCM. . . . . Generalized Partial Credit Model

**HSCE** . . . . . High School Content Expectation

**IRT** . . . . . Item Response Theory

**ISD** . . . . . Intermediate School District

**LEP** . . . . . Limited English Proficient

MC.... Multiple Choice

MDE.... Michigan Department of Education

**MEAP....** Michigan Educational Assessment Program

**MME** . . . . . Michigan Merit Examination

**MSDS**. . . . . Michigan Student Database System

NCRC.... National Career Readiness Certificate

SBE . . . . . State Board of Education

**SWD** . . . . . Students with Disabilities

UIC . . . . . Unique Identification Code

### **Contact Information**

High school administrators, teachers, and counselors should become familiar with the report layouts and information contained in this document. If you have questions after reviewing this Guide to Reports, or need additional information about MME administration procedures, content, scheduling, appropriate assessment or accommodations for students with disabilities or the English language learners (ELLs), please contact the Michigan Department of Education, Bureau of Assessment and Accountability, using the contact information listed below:

Joseph Martineau, Ph.D., Executive Director Bureau of Assessment & Accountability

Vince Dean, Ph.D., Director Office of Standards & Assessment

James A. Griffiths, Manager Office of Standards & Assessment

Andrew Middlestead, Test Development Manager Office of Standards & Assessment

Steven Viger, Manager Office of Psychometrics, Accountability, Research, & Evaluation

Robin Wright, MME Project Manager Office of Standards & Assessment

Jason Kolb, Analyst
Office of Standards & Assessment

Shiqi Hao, Ph.D., Psychometrician Office of Psychometrics, Accountability, Research, & Evaluation

Linda Howley, Accessibility Specialist Office of Standards & Assessment

John Jaquith, Assessment Consultant for Students with Disabilities Office of Standards and Assessment

Rodger H. Epp, Science Development Coordinator Office of Standards & Assessment

(Vacant), Reading Development Coordinator Office of Standards & Assessment

Kyle Ward, Mathematics Development Coordinator Office of Standards & Assessment

(Vacant), Writing/Social Studies Development Coordinator Office of Standards & Assessment

Phone: 1-877-560-8378

**Fax:** 517-335-1186

Web site: www.michigan.gov/mme

**E-mail:** baa@michigan.gov

# Michigan State Board of Education

John C. Austin – President

Casandra E. Ulbrich - Vice President

Daniel Varner - Secretary

Richard Zeile - Treasurer

Michelle Fechteau – NASBE Delegate

Lupe Ramos-Montigny

Kathleen Straus

Eileen Lappin Weiser

ex-Officio

Rick Snyder - Governor

Michael P. Flanagan - Superintendent of Public Instruction